

The (cribbed) Star of Bethlehem

Part 6, Section 2

Already the Jesus Child, a “Curse” and a “Horror” onto God according to the Bible

The resolutely hidden Years of Jesus Christ before he became Leader of a religious Gang and why they are concealed until today



As [PDF](#) or [ZIP](#)

1. The Christians’ “god’s word” contradicts itself about Jesus’ abode in Egypt - Either Matthew or Luke, one of both is lying or both

2. “Out of Egypt I called my son (Jesus)”? Luke as an inadvertent witness, that Jesus neither is “Messiah” nor “god’s son”


3. What debunking facts about Jesus Matthew distorts by concocting a horror-story about Herode

1. The Christians’ “god’s word” contradicts itself about Jesus’ abode in Egypt - Either Matthew or Luke, one of both is lying or both are lying

That is why the Christians try concealing everything about Jesus' biography or his outward appearance or the Christians contrive tales about that, which have nothing to do with the facts ... Who knows Ben-Pandera's (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ's") real biography, that one knows Christianity, i.e. why he became the prophet of the scum of the earth, of planet earth's top desperadoes and other sorts of criminals or the instigator or the (almost) perfect crime, which is called Christianity. This biography is no matter of believe but facts that are right or wrong, respectively true or lied. I. e., facts occur or are contrived and consequently are to verify, respectively, falsify.

The Christians try veiling what Jesus did between his twelfth and thirtieth year of age. That is why Celsus' book “True Discourse” (about 178 C.E.) was so embarrassing to them, because he lifted the veil of the (Christian) phonies' “mystery”, too. Early Christian Origen (185 -254 C.E.) did nothing else but in vain, overzealously and foolhardily trying, trying and trying to refute Celsus. made known the ancient objections to Jesus and the Christians and confirmed them at least in so far as he did not contradict them. In later centuries the Christians conceived that the most effectual way to extinguish truths is not disputing them

but to veil, disguise, camouflage them, i.e. to keep silent about them and kill those folks daring to tell them. Hence, his Christian accomplices named even Origen later a heretic and even excommunicated him.



Now as a paperback for 10.95 US\$ and E-BOOK for 9.95 US\$!

PublishAmerica just has edited as well an inexpensive paperback version of "Jesus' Bluff" (price: 10.95 US\$) as an E-Book that also is compatible with Apple's new IPAD (price: 9.95 US\$). For the E-Book version there are no additional costs but the fee of 9.95 US\$. In order to download the e-book version of Jesus' Bluff [click here](#). Shipping of other versions within the United States amounts to 3.99 US\$ and to each other country in the world 12 US\$. So, in the United States the book as a material copy now is available for about 15 US\$ (including shipping) and outside the U.S.A. for about 20€.

Philosopher Celsus reports that Jesus hired himself out as a servant in Egypt before he started his career as itinerant preacher and leader of a religious gang. Origen -- a zealot of Christian sect that castrated himself -- presumes to object to ancient Greek philosopher Celsus that the latter obviously mixes up the wretched misery of the Christians' "god" in Egypt as a day laborer or even servant with an ostensible heroic escape (of Jesus and his family immediately after the child's birth) to Egypt because of an allegedly infanticide committed by king Herode. However, that what Origen fails to see is the fact that even the Christians' "god's" word contradicts itself pertaining to the alleged escape to Egypt. Indeed, heroic is the way how Matthew tries to veil the disgrace of the illegitimate birth of grandpa Joseph and his adulterous "granddaughter" Mary -- the difference of the age of both, we will point out in chapter 8:

Mt 2:13-16 RSV

13 ¶ Now when they had departed, behold, an angel of the Lord appeared to Joseph in a dream and said, "Rise, take the child and his mother, and flee to Egypt, and remain there till I tell you; for Herod is about to search for the child, to destroy him."

14 And he rose and took the child and his mother by night, and departed to Egypt,

15 and remained there until the death of Herod. This was to fulfil what the Lord had spoken by the prophet, "Out of Egypt have I called my son."

16 ¶ Then Herod, when he saw that he had been tricked by the wise men, was in a furious rage, and he

sent and killed all the male children in Bethlehem and in all that region who were two years old or under, according to the time which he had ascertained from the wise men.

It is safe to say that the Christians turned out to be capable of such an atrocity but not Herode. So, one can say that this is very typical of Christian, i.e. a sheer (psychological) projection very, very typical of Christians.

Ancient Greek philosopher Celsus (about the year 178 C.E.) made the most conclusive and convincing objection to that silly psychological projection:

“But if, then, this (Infanticide) was done (by Herode) in order that you (Jesus) might not reign in his stead when you (Jesus) had grown to man's estate; why, after you did reach that estate, do you not become a king, instead of you, the Son of God, wandering about in so mean a condition, hiding yourself through fear, and leading a miserable life up and down?”^[1]

Ancient Greek philosopher Celsus and the Jews -- reporting on Jesus and the Christians in Celsus' book -- are completely right making a laughing stock of the Christians' drivel. An individual that never became king, even wretchedly failed to become king of the Jews and that eked out a miserable life instead of becoming “King of the Jews”, allegedly was deadly menaced by the then king, because that (then) king allegedly was afraid that some decades later on, that misshapen suckling might become a king. Ah, ah, ah - don't make me laugh!

Matthew misses overlooking that his dastardly tale of laying on the agony about the alleged infant's (Jesus') prosecution by Herode, in hindsight turns out to be nothing but very typical Christian conjuring of lying and deceiving, at the end of Jesus' “official” life. Before convicted to a death penalty by crucifixion, Jesus attempted a coup to become “King of Israel” on “Palm Sunday”. Moreover, that was the reason (attempt at usurping the Jewish crown) why he lawfully and legitimately was sentenced maximum penalty. Ah, ah, ha - King Herode allegedly should have been afraid of that misshapen and ugly baby, who decades later, possibly and perhaps successfully will compete with him as “King of Israel” ... And because of this “conjecture” King Herode. Therefore, hit out and murdered out wildly in order to get the infant Jesus Christ...

Referring to the liars' and deceivers' “god”, the Christians presume to tell us that Herode allegedly was frightened that a baby (Jesus Christ) succeeds to do something (usurping the office of “King of Israel”) , this biped (Jesus Christ) even wretchedly failed to achieve when this infant (Jesus Christ) became an adult one...! Oh my goodness...!

The unambiguous fact that Jesus Christ - for whatever reasons - failed to become “King of Israel” and sentenced to the death penalty is another evidence that Jesus and the Christians are a curse onto god – that is the way god regards a hung one as we are going to demonstrate below -- and otherwise liars, deceivers, criminals, felons, murderers, religious rogues, religious Mafiosi and as the scum of morals and humankind.

Whatever the Christians presume and conjure to tell us, if Jesus would have been sufficiently popular with the Israelites, he would have finished that coup on Palm Sunday by acceding to the throne of the “King of Israel“ and presumably his adversaries because of the barbarian's (Jesus' Christ) injustice would have hung on the cross – instead of him. Jesus Christ – the curse and image of execration onto god – could not refer to any law in order to usurp the supreme office of the people of Israel (“King of Israel”).

He just tried ruling of force. And Jesus -- the later king and devil, pardon, "god" of all the losers and fools -- just ridiculously and wretchedly failed. So, he designed the further lot of his. If playing such game (usurping the supreme office of a country) there are only two possibilities: Either the aggressor (in this case: Jesus Christ) finishes that coup by acceding on the throne and the defeated ones unjustly become finished by a death penalty or the aggressor (in this case) Jesus Christ is executed death penalty and the attacked ones stay in power as before. The latter happened. And that is the game Jesus played.

That means the tale about the "official" end of Jesus Christ, i.e. his ridiculously attempted coup at the office of the "King of Israel", in hindsight provides evidence about the Christians' mendacious tales about his birth, and moreover, it proves that the Christian felons' sect is used to shrinking from no lie, deceit and roguery, even not from fabricating a "god" of their own (Jesus Christ) in order to achieve enslaving entire humankind.

Ah, ah, ah -- and those awful little spiteful devils presume to tell us that King Herode murdered out each little innocent baby because he was afraid of a baby to compete with him as King of Israel -- a shoddy baby with the Mark of Cain of deformity, which in addition is a curse onto god and even an image of execration. When he was of that age to become a king, the creepy dastards failed that miserably and ridiculously, of course without god's interference...!

***There is no lying, lying and lying,
The Christians shrink from contriving!***

That's really nothing but a psychological projection of Christian felons, murderers and barbarians of Christian stupidity... That is the way of thinking and logic of Christian good-for-nothings but not of a king. Hardly, a donkey can be king of a country but a Christian, anyway. If that fabricated occurrence ever would have happened, what is not the case, each experienced king and reasonable one would say: We will cross that bridge when we've got to it. We have to cross enough bridges that are real, thus we should not cross bridges that are still far away, and if it is uncertain if we ever get there. Furthermore, perhaps I will be no king any longer, or even I will not be still alive when a baby of today will be an adult. That is the first thing each responsible human being must have learned: Don't cross your bridges before you didn't get to them.

Here one can realize that fabricating that story (of Herode's alleged infanticide) and withholding the most part of Jesus' biography belong together. Precisely, ancient philosopher Celsus realizes that. If god allegedly saves a child from being murdered, because the reputed murderer was afraid that this misshapen monstrosity might become king instead of him, then god would make him (Jesus) king as (grown up) man and not a servant drudging in Egypt in order to keep his head above water. Jesus real abode in Egypt as young man -- reported by Celsus -- sufficiently refutes each demand of any divinity of him as well as his ugly outward appearance does, either.

And that is why both resolutely are kept secret by his accomplices (Christians). Even by concealing those essential elements of Jesus' biography the Christians admit that between his age of twelve to thirty there was nothing to report that was in favor of him. The only favor of Jesus Christ concerning that time of his life and his outward appearance is to hide, to conceal and to veil. And demonstrably his attempt at becoming "King of the Jews" brought ignominy and disgrace of a felon and death penalty convict upon him (Jesus) and evenly demonstrably was finished by it. He wasn't murdered by anyone but lawfully punished by the death penalty according to the then law.

That is even to prove by the Christians' scriptures, even if those Christian dastards and

bastards lie through their teeth, in particular, pertaining to the attempt of their topmost bandit becoming "King of the Jews", so gladly...

It is absolutely impossible that only Matthew - and not each of his fellow gospel writers - not even Luke! - knows about such an abomination that would have had shaken whole Israel at that time - as we are going to demonstrate now. Exactly, such an atrocity would have been the best prearrangement for the expectation of a Messiah. The continuous questioning of Jesus by the Jews why he was that over-presumptuous claiming to be "Messiah" or "God" without distinguishing himself by any corresponding fate or features, provides evidence of the reverse, namely -- besides disgrace of a shameful illegitimate begetting according to the standards of that time -- the Christians later heavily reinforced -- there was nothing special about the monstrosity the Christians want to palm off as the "god" on humankind for the selfishness of their own.

As already mentioned, not even among the Christian gospel writers is any common knowledge about that contrivance. That is absolutely impossible such an atrocity ever would have had occurred, and only Matthew knows about it. According to the method of criminal investigation, it is a brazen lie, a sheer imputation caused by the Christians' overwhelming need of projection: Laying the blame for the depraved mind of their own at their enemy's door. By such a fabrication folk shall feel pity with humankind most abominable felons according to the slogan: Hardly, that "good fellow" was born, when the so-called "evil word" already wanted to kill him. The king of the Christian killers shall be fobbed off as "victim" on all his targeted victims. Luke assures in his "god's word" that:

- Firstly, the family immediately returned home to Nazareth after having been carrying out the matters of birth and
- Secondly, that Jesus grew up in Nazareth:

Lu 2:39-42 RSV

39 And when they had performed everything according to the law of the Lord, they returned into Galilee, to their own city, Nazareth.

40 And the child grew and became strong, filled with wisdom; and the favor of God was upon him.

41 ¶ Now his parents went to Jerusalem every year at the feast of the Passover.

42 And when he was twelve years old, they went up according to custom;

Christians are used to accusing each opponent to divorce the quotations of the bible from their context. What they withhold by reproaching others that way, is the fact that their Satan's, pardon, "god's" word is already designed that way that each Christian has to do so when using his "holy scripture". Hence, the Christians are used to „deploring“ a lack, fault, mendacity and depravity of that deception what they call „god's word“. Thus Christians project the deficiency (depravity) of their own on their adversaries, respectively, enemies because they cannot endure the fact that their "god's word" is that mendacious and depraved.

Those (Christians) that want to press the "gland of pity" in favor of their topmost deceiver and felon (Jesus Christ) refer to Matthew (according to the slogan: Scarcely the „innocent lamb of god“ was born, when the „evil“ world already tried killing him). Those Christians that want to stay with the truth refer to Luke, in this respect.

(According to the criminological method of investigation we are applying here, the

delinquent is used to lying for the advantage of his own, even if the Christians want to claim the reverse -- for the selfishness of their own). That is why we assume that Luke is right. However, we still have more evidence from the bible that Matthew --of all gospel writers is referring to -- very typical of Christian lies).

Thus, each Christian is right -- according to „Satan’s“, pardon, „god’s“ word „Love’ your enemies, do good to those who hate you“ – to contradict his Christian fellow or opponent, reproach him with divorcing the bible’s quotations from it context, denigrate, denounce, yes even to slaughter and burn him at the stake. Satan’s, pardon, “god’s” word cares for everybody: For the stabbing one and the stabbed one and with the corresponding verses for each adversary and enemy to claim to be right (to libel, vilify, slaughter and murder one’s opponent or enemy)...

If Matthew instead of all the other gospel writers would be right and the other wrong (that would mean that Luke lies!), such an infanticide would have shocked and shaken that tremendously, then, so that not only the other gospels' writers would have known it but also the Jews of that time. However, in that situation the Jews would have expected Jesus to become an extraordinary personage. They did not do so and always rightly were surprised what that former wretched servant from Egypt always was claiming about himself.

So, let’s summarize the Christians’ or the liars’ “god’s “ word according to Luke:

a) There was no tempted or done infanticide by Herode but only such a depraved projection (insinuation) of the Christian swindlers, liars and barbarians on Herode. By this projection, the Christians do not describe Herode but unmask themselves.

b) There was no cause to escape to Egypt for the family – except the shame of an illegitimate childbirth.

c) The family did not escape after the childbirth in Bethlehem (to the foreign countries) either, but returned where it came from. This is also the crucial criterion that one of both evangelists of “god’s word” (!) lies or possibly and likely both of them. Luke does not omit something, for instance, "escape to Egypt", but gives a contradictious message: They returned home instead of going abroad. With regard to the two other gospel writers (John and Mark), the Christian felons of deceit possibly still could claim omissions, however, regarding Luke, not at all. However, in this case it is to assume that John and Mark would have mentioned such an abominable atrocity on that horrifying scale, if it was not completely fabricated according to the well-known Christian slogan: (Christian) belief means not knowing, especially “avoid admitting what is true” (German Philosopher Nietzsche).

d) According to Luke, Jesus spend his whole childhood – except his birth and the days of Passover – in Nazareth, at least up to his twelfth year of age. Once a year the family traveled to the Passover festivity to Jerusalem. If they had lived in Egypt, just because of that participation of Passover in Jerusalem, the family would have been on the way about two or even three months of a year due to the then means of transportation. In order to travel annually for about two or even three months, one needs a good portfolio even today, i.e. one must belong to the leisured classes, the family did not. Joseph had to work providing daily bread for his family. In Egypt, he would have had to start from ground zero and could have carried sacks, at best. And when returning to Palestine, he had to start again from ground zero! A family’s father of six or more children shall go off in search of such adventure... Jesus inveterate hatred on the riches debunks his family’s poverty: Rather a camel goes through an eye of a needle than a rich in heaven (see Lu 18:25). ... Oh my god, do you still doubt that Satan or his son has become worshiped as the “god” in that Christian sect of cannibals? ^[ii]

2. "Out of Egypt I called my son (Jesus)"? - Luke as an inadvertent witness, that Jesus neither is "Messiah" nor "god's son"

Now a crafty, sneaky, unscrupulous Christian "theo"-logian – there are not few of them -- could say: Well, if Matthew has lied, then only "god's word" lies but not Jesus, our god. Jesus is innocent! "God", the father, is the scapegoat... He did not listen enough to his son...

Now and then, one has to respond to the Christian Mafiosi's punch-and-Judy-show. So what, Jesus shall be innocent because the Christians' „god's word" contains a pack of lies? Let's recall how Matthew explained the escape to Egypt. Let's have a closer look at the text:

Mt 2:15 RSV

15 and remained there until the death of Herod. This was to fulfil what the Lord had spoken by the prophet, "Out of Egypt have I called my son."

Oh my goodness, for experts in this subject Matthew hereby debunks more than he wants to veil by that. So,

- the Messiah shall come from Egypt?
- Really? Since when?
- Whoever said this very, very "interesting" thing?

Oh yes, Christian Matthew, you say: The Jewish Messiah has to come from Egypt. However, "god's word" (gospel of Luke) does not only keep silent about what place Jesus did come from and to what he has gone like, for example, Mark and John do. Luke tells us that he did not go to Egypt but to Nazareth and that is the place the itinerant preacher Jesus Christ came from according to Luke.

That means: According to „god's word“ (gospel of Luke) Jesus cannot be Messiah or „god's son“, indeed not only because

- he is not called Immanuel as the prophet Isaiah predicted about the Messiah but because
- he did not come from Egypt – a presupposition Matthew tells and hoodwinks to be fulfilled.

Therefore, Christians "god's word" (gospel of Luke) is our witness that Jesus is not the Messiah.

Christian "god's word" counters and contradicts Christian "god's word". How could it be else among liars, deceivers and religious Mafiosi? And this contradiction is of no insignificance, because compared with the other "god's word" (Luke) Jesus already sufficiently is debunked as deceiver and religious Mafiosi. This is the case if somebody deceitfully passes himself off as "god's son" and hereby as ruler of all the human beings and the universe. Here it is not about a trifle that allows changing the topic of the agenda.

How to decide else what was "god's word" (Matthew or Luke) but by libeling, slander, denigrate, stab, slaughter or murder the other (Christian), especially since the Christians' deception allegedly is not to judge by reason...? Matthew inadvertently

turns out Luke to be a deceiver and Luke inadvertently turns out Matthew to be a deceiver. And both turn out Jesus to be man's deceiver or man's fiend. That is the fact! Aren't the Christians' rights to deny the relevance of reason pertaining to their "belief" in order to benefit from the advantages of their concoctions of deception?

Since "god's word" in the gospel of Matthew says that the Messiah is called out of Egypt and "god's word" according to Luke says that Jesus did not move to Egypt but returned to Nazareth, "god's word" itself provides evidence that Jesus Christ and his accomplices (the Christians) are used to lying and deceiving when fobbing that misshapen monstrosity (Jesus Christ) off as "Messiah" and "god" on their victims (congeners). In other words: We do not have only reason but also the Christians' "god's word" as evidence that Jesus and his Christians are religious Mafiosi.

And this in spite of the Christians' fooling that their lies and deceits become unassailable when denying the relevance of reason to turn out lies, respectively, truths, provided they (the Christians) are concerned. Not only reason but also their "god's word" of their own debunks those abominable fiends and that misshapen cripple and child-murderer ^[iii] (Jesus Christ) they lifted up to their "god" as religious dastards n' bastards, creeps n' crooks and if still something else then as religious Mafiosi.

However, there is much more to say to the topic of Jesus in Egypt than that.

3. What debunking facts about Jesus Matthew distorts by concocting a horror-story about Herode

As we already demonstrated in the chapter before, the feature of illegitimacy already restricted, i.e. designed, Jesus' life in a very negative way. According to De 23:2 an illegitimate child is not only banned from any job as priest but also from the Assembly of God. Since the Messiah is a "priest according to the order of Melchizedek" (Ps 110:4) it is a joke claiming to be Messiah (Greek: Christos) while being an illegitimate child. Therefore, it was the interest of Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus Christ) and his fellow Christian desperadoes to blur, hid, lie and conceal the true facts of his (shameful) ancestry by stopping at nothing. Already the fact of Jesus' illegitimacy belies him and debunks Christianity as crime and terror of deception. Consequently, Christian desperado-criminals even did not shrink from contriving an infanticide, which they insolently insinuated to King Herode..

Again, this is a very demonstration how the Christian dastards hotly "love" their enemy...!

At Mary's times, moving to Egypt was not that easy as Matthew tries telling us. And having an illegitimate child far much less! Even if the concerned one tried fobbing her child off as a laid by „god“ on their fellow Jews. Whoever believes her, except brainwashed Christians or those that are conditioned like German shepherds by the Christians? Anyway, the Jews rightly did not so, as Celsus reports to us and any reasonable one not being obsessed living a lie, either. Obviously, the other gospel writers knew the Jewish god's word a little better than Matthew did and that is why they did not adopt Matthew's cock-and-bull-story very typical of Christian horror. (Correspondingly to their need of psychological projections, Christians generally cannot withstand masking their adversaries and enemies as evil as imaginable. Considering these circumstances, there is to attach meaning to the fact that the other gospel writers refrain from adopting Matthew's horror-story).

Moving to Egypt after the Exodus (of the Jews from Egypt) – and this is the time of Joseph, Mary and Jesus – was a deadly sin for the Jews. And having an illegitimate child,

either. That is what the gospel writers conceal in order to give wrong impressions. Then very, very unimportant Mary wasn't threatened with the real or concealed wickedness of Herode but with stoning by the laws of their people and that ones of god – as we are going to produce evidence, now.

And that silly, sneaky, furtive and depraved Christian called Matthew

- firstly wants to tell us that Mary promptly slid from one mortal sin (adultery) to another (moving to Egypt) and
- secondly wants to palm that concoction off as “god's word” on the weak-minded ones, jerks, duffers, mugs and all the miscarriages of nature that are Satan's, pardon, Christ's chosen ones...

De 22:22-24 AV

22 If a man be found lying with a woman married to an husband, then they shall both of them die, both the man that lay with the woman, and the woman: so shalt thou put away evil from Israel.

23 If a damsel that is a virgin be betrothed unto an husband, and a man find her in the city, and lie with her;

24 Then ye shall bring them both out unto the gate of that city, and ye shall stone them with stones that they die; the damsel, because she cried not, being in the city; and the man,

Mary's life was imperiled by the adultery of her own and not by any sheer pleasure in killing the wicked and malevolent Christians are used to projecting on Herode. Here, once more it is to emphasize that the Christians' excuses of Mary's alleged intercourse with “god” are negligible. Even if that cock- and-bull-story would be true, the Jews, nevertheless, would have suspected Mary being the mother of an illegitimate child and thus having committed adultery. From ancient Greek philosopher Celsus, it is to infer that only some depraved, weak-minded and silly Christians “bought” those „nice“ excuses pertaining Mary from the Christian slaveholders but nobody else did so, rightly.

Moreover, one surely can assume that pertaining to the creation of his Messiah (“son”) god would have proceeded that way that no married or betrothed woman would arouse suspicion having committed adultery, i.e. having perpetrated a deadly sin and hereby running the risk of the death penalty (for that woman). (That's a very, very “nice” concept of the “god”, who Christian religious Mafiosi have! Such a “god”, the Christians contrive would be a bungler and everything but not competent regarding his job in creating human beings). When creating Adam, god already provided evidence that he does not need any (married or betrothed) woman in order to give birth to a human being. There, god already demonstrated that he does not need to mix, combine, unite, mate or copulate with himself with a woman – and with a married and betrothed woman, not at all. Indeed, it is very, very silly how the Christian piggy priests -- that are obsessed by hunger for power enslaving all their fellow human beings -- try camouflaging the many deadly sins of Mary.

In so far, one surely can assume that god successfully would have proceeded that way, that neither he nor the woman would have aroused suspicion having perpetrated a deadly sin that is punished by the death penalty. Everything else the Christians fabricate and concoct is nothing but silly and jerky contrivances of cerebellums for concealing unscrupulous lying and deceiving. That is what Christian liars and deceivers

do not consider when serving up their farces ...

Hence, for the contemporary Jews there was no reason but to assume adultery of Mary with “marvelously nice” excuses...

If somebody thinks to object that the moral of the Jewish Bible („Old Testament“) was inhuman, then the following is to answer:

- a) At least, the Christians deserve to feel pity for them. They later intensified waging war on mothers of illegitimate children, to the utmost. And if nowadays in Christianly enslaved countries there is a change, it did not come into being because of the Christians but is due to increasing influence of opponents of the Christian sect in Western societies.
- b) That had been the standards and laws at that time, Jesus even feigned to fulfill. [\[iv\]](#) In the letter to the Hebrews (Christian “New Testament”) there even is written approvingly: “*He that despised Moses’ law died without mercy under two or three witnesses:*”(Heb 10,28 AV). Hence, Satan’s, pardon, “god’s” mother deserved death penalty according to the Christians’ standards of the own. From that, Matthew wants to divert the gaze of his readers to a horror story, nobody knows but himself...

Let’s record the fact that Mary herself endangered her life by her adultery.

By quoting once more from the Jews’ Bible (“Old Testament”): “*Out of Egypt have I called my son.*” (Mt 2:15), Matthew again underscores that the Jews’ Bible is the basis one can verify, respectively, falsify Jesus’ and the Christians’ claims – instead of hated reason. And this is what we are accepting and doing now: Convicting Jesus’ and the Christians’ claims to be liars, deceivers and criminals by the standards of their own. Therefore, let’s have a closer look at that what the Bible says about an escape to Egypt.

The Bible (of the Jews) distinguishes very much between moving to Egypt *before* and *after* the Exodus (of the Jewish people from Egypt) took place. Jews moving to Egypt after the Exodus are not only traitors to their motherland but even an execration to the god:

Isa 30:7 NIV [\[v\]](#)

7 “ to Egypt, whose help is utterly useless. Therefore I call her Rahab the Do-Nothing.”

That means: Mary never could get help by escaping to Egypt and here Matthew’s word stands against god’s word, to which he (Matthew) himself is referring and the Christians at least are used to feigning to be the supreme standard of everything. Once more: Matthew’s word stands against god’s word! Who wonders? However, the worst is yet to come:

Jer 42:18 NIV

“This is what the LORD Almighty, the God of Israel, says: ‘As my anger and wrath have been poured out on those who lived in Jerusalem, so will my wrath be poured out on you when you go to Egypt. You will be an object of cursing and horror, of

condemnation and reproach; you will never see this place again.” [vi]

Oh yes, according to Matthew not only Joseph and Mary but also already the Jesus child – faked as “god’s son” by himself and the Christians – indeed is a curse and a horror onto god! Jesus and his mother precisely match the traits and attributes of Satan: Being a condemnation, a curse, and a horror onto god! Who are condemnation, a curse, and a horror onto god? Oh yes, Christians, answer this question! The answer: Satan and his son (Jesus Christ) and his entire family...! Oh yes, you understood correctly:

- Mary – Satan’s, pardon, „god’s“ (that’s the same in Christian Mafia!) mother indeed is a curse, a condemnation and horror onto god.
- Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera, aka: Jesus Christ, instead of son (associate) of “god” a curse, a condemnation and horror onto god. In two words: Satan’s son!
- Jesus and Satan are a well-matched company, like father and son!

And what evidence we do have? God’s word! And what do the Christians have to object to that? Fabrications of their own they are used to fobbing them off as “god’s word”!

My word, this Jesus Christ, what a Satan, pardon, „god“! Indeed, precisely that “god” that Satan (or his son) – according to the Christians’ own doctrines – so gladly wants to become: “God” of the very, very last ones! Who still is wondering about those outrages, barbarities and abominations of Christians’ sect that are past description?

Oh my god, you never know that what is possible for Satan that is impossible for human beings...! There is palmed a cripple’s mug (Jesus) off as „god’s son“ or even „god“ on the gullible, insane, stupid and depraved ones, on German shepherd, programmed robots and zombies, etc. and then suddenly, a closer look at the scriptures the Christians fake to refer to, turns out that cripple „god“ as a curse and horror onto god and moreover, as a low-down bastard, dastard and spiteful little devil.

Whoever, oh yes, whoever could doubt that the bible (of the Jews) is right? The bible of the Jews is right – unlike that what the Christian Mafiosi try placing instead of god’s word as it is revealed in the bible of the Jews!

However, how do the Christians try helping themselves? How religious Mafiosi of a caliber like the Christians are used to helping themselves? Rats are no philosophers but sly and the Christians, anyway. The prophet Isaiah, who allegedly provides evidence of all the Christian claims about Jesus (as Messiah and „god’s“ son) says, for example:

Isa 7,14

14 Therefore the Lord himself will give you as sign: The young woman will be with child and will give birth to a son, and will call him Immanuel.

Now, the Christian Mafiosi and dastards want to fob Jesus off as this Messiah on all the bipeds for the selfishness of their own (because he promised them to become slaveholder of humankind for worshiping him as „Messiah“ or „god“, in return). However, the supreme dastard n’ bastard has a shortcoming: He isn’t called Immanuel but Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera, stage name: Jesus Christ. And how do religious dastards help themselves in such or similar situations? By fabricating a „god’s word“ by their own and placing that instead of god’s word! Did you get it or do you want to experience the fire of the stake?! Here, the Christians’ fabrication of „god’s word“ instead of god’s word, of course with the instruction that this (Christian) fabrication and not god’s word matters, any longer:

Mt 1:21 NIV

21 She (Mary) will give birth to a son, and you (Mary) are to give him the name Jesus, because he will save his people from their sins.

Ac 4:12 NIV

12 Salvation is found in no-one else, for there is no other name (e.g. Immanuel) under heaven given to men by which we must be saved.

Well, if god's word can be abuse by the Christians it is quoted as conclusive evidence and if that evidence debunks the Christians and their Jesus are a curse and horror onto god, on the spot it is replaced by a concocted „god's word“ of their own.

Who wonders that god deems the Christians and their foremost desperado from the death row as curse, execration, horror and abomination? What honest and truthful human being does not do so like god...? Who wonders that the negative selection of the scum of the earth (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32, Lu 19:10) worships an execration to god as its "god", unless it is not Satan they address and worship as "god"...?

Indeed, that is the way religious dastards and Mafiosi are used to dealing with god's word in order to lift up themselves to slaveholders of their fellow human beings, unassailably. For them, „god“ is just a trick (of specter) to make their megalomania and selfishness (abasing their congeners to become their slaves subservient to themselves) unassailable.

Only one can lie or both: Either Isaiah or the Christians, if not both! Since the Christians' abuse and fake the authority of the prophet Isaiah as evidence for themselves but Isaiah and the Jews – for whatever reason -- do not refer to the Christians, the latter (the Christians) are used to lying and deceiving.

Another example: As mentioned, god's word says that moving to

Egypt is a curse and horror onto god. And how, yes, how do religious dastards of a calibre like the Christians “help” themselves in such a situation? Oh yes, that's right: They contrive a “god's word” of their own and hereby replace god's word by words of theirs with the instruction to their brainwashers that only the fabrications of theirs count and not the known god's word...

So, if god's word does not prove the Christians' deception it all of a sudden becomes replaced by a “god's” word of their own...!

Those are the Christian “martyrs” of “truths”!

Indeed, everybody is able to realize what martyrdom truths means to the (wretched) Christians.

However, one answer we did not give, until now. Matthew quotes prophet Hosea that the “son of god” was called out of Egypt. Hence, we obviously seem to be wrong or the bible of the Jews (“Old Testament”) seems to contradict itself.

a) As said, the bible (of the Jews) differentiates between a stay before and after the Exodus (of the Jews from Egypt took place) and prophet Hosea means the time before the Exodus.

b) In god's word (bible of the Jews), i.e. Judaism or even in then contemporary philosophy of Neo-Platonism, the notion of „son of god“ means quite something else than in Christian sect.

For individuals having undergone Christian brainwashing und being unable to free themselves of that, the „son of god“ is a kind of superman, a god, associate and peer of god or perhaps even a guardian of god – precisely that what the topmost dastard (Jesus) is in his sect of dastards n' bastards or creeps n' crooks. However, this concept of “son of god” does not stem from the bible (Judaism) but from Mithras Religion -- and from there the Christians cribbed that plagiarism. This, we already pointed out in chapter 5. ***Christianity is used to feigning Judaism but exercising Mithraism.***

According to the then contemporary philosophy of Neo-Platonism the „son of god“ is nature and basically all human beings. For the prophet Hosea, whom Matthew either is unable or unwilling to understand, the „son of god“ is the people of Israel. Hence, the passage that god called his son out of Egypt means that god induced and enabled the Exodus of the Jews from Egypt.

Very typical of Christian falsehood and mendacity, Matthew divorces the words of the prophet Hosea from its context and again by very typical of Christian foolishness and conjuring tricks, he insinuates the „special“ Christian meaning of the words to Hosea's words, in fact, they do not have. (This once more demonstrates that the very Christians' reproaching of divorcing the passages of the bible out of its context is nothing but a very Christian psychological projection: laying the blame for the mendacity and depravity of one's own at the adversary's or enemy's door).

Hos 11:1-5 AV

1 When Israel was a child, then I loved him, and called my son out of Egypt.

2 As they called them, so they went from them: they sacrificed unto Baalim, and burned incense to graven images.

3 I taught Ephraim also to go, taking them by their arms; but they knew not that I healed them.

4 I drew them with cords of a man, with bands of love: and I was to them as they that take off the yoke on their jaws, and I laid meat unto them.

5 He shall not return into the land of Egypt, but the Assyrian shall be his king, because they refused to return

Already verse 2 proves that by the term of „son“ (verse 1) no Jesus, not even the real Jewish Messiah Immanuel is concerned but the people of Israel. Here, god is reproaching to this son (people of Israel) with worshiping idols, like, for instance, Baal or images, now, although he released them from Egyptian bondage. Verse 5 even expressively confirms that Egypt now is a curse and horror onto the Israelites and onto god. “He shall not return into the land of Egypt,” confirms that after the Exodus Israelites are not allowed to move to Egypt, what cursed Mary and condemned Jesus Christ did. There is no contradiction with the other saying about moving to Egypt after the Exodus.

That is the way Matthew and all the other Christian deceivers deal with god's word!

Calling Jesus „god's son“ virtually means degrading all the other human beings to animals because thus Jesus is made to the only one human being. Therefore, there is no wonder what a felonious sect the Christian one is. Thus, Jesus Christ is the only one human being that exists because there is only one „god's“ son. The Christian piggy priests are ready for abase all their ardently „beloved“ fellow human beings to that level of animals in order to lift up themselves as slaveholders above those „dehumanized“ individuals.

Bastard Jesus Christ – a bastard in the very meaning of this word - and his Christian dastards are only hungry for power to become slaveholders of all the ah, “beloved” human beings, indeed at all costs and at any price. Specters, like, for instance, Satan or “god”, heaven, hell, etc. are just serving them for this purpose. Animals have no place in Christian sect because their place is designed for human beings...

However, one can take the view that Christian “loving” dastards (including their topmost dastard Jesus Christ) are below not only human beings but below most of the higher developed mammals. That is why “loving” Christians are those hateful to deprive human beings of their dignity of human rights by faking a Satan's, pardon, and „god's” son. This would explain why “loving” Christian dastards and bastards often are more hostile to each other than beasts of prey to human beings (Julian, ancient emperor of Rome). Higher developed animals are trust worthier and have more real love than Christian – this scum of all creatures – are used to having. And more than dastardly Jesus Christ and his malicious popes, anyway!

That is what is to call a felons' sect or what are to call religious Mafiosi.

Now, we have to give our thoughts on the following matter: If Matthew quotes god's word (of the Jews) that wrongly in order to give “honorable” sense for an abode of Jesus in Egypt, then one can assume that Jesus really dwelled in Egypt, for a longer period. However, that this period never had been directly after his birth who is proven by Luke as already demonstrated.

From this disgrace that turns out the Christians' Satan's, pardon, „god's“ son (Jesus) and mother (Mary) equally as a course and horror onto god, Matthew tries diverting our gaze by arousing pity with that heinous and abominable Jesus Christ. Again, that is nothing else but a very, very sneaky, mean, furtive and depraved Christian tactic of waging war (of the very, very last ones on the first ones). In case that they are menaced with any disgrace or punishment, especially by the victims their deceits, creeping Jesus and all his crafty, insidious and perfidious dastards and bastards try arousing pity with them in order to escape from their disgrace, respectively, punishment.

Let us recall what ancient Greek philosopher Celsus (about the year 178) reports about Jesus' abode in Egypt:

“For he [Celsus] represents the Jew disputing with Jesus, and confuting Him, as he thinks, on many points; and in the first place, he accuses Him of having invented his birth from a virgin, and upbraids Him with being born in a certain Jewish village, of a poor woman of the country, who gained her subsistence by spinning, and who was turned out of doors by her husband, a carpenter by trade, because she was convicted of adultery; that after being driven away by her husband, and wandering about for a time, she disgracefully gave birth to Jesus, an illegitimate child, who (Jesus) having hired himself out as a servant in Egypt on account of his poverty, and having there acquired some miraculous powers, on which the Egyptians greatly pride themselves, returned to his own country, highly elated on account of them, and by means of these proclaimed himself a God.” [vii]

Celsus is the only one giving an account of that what Jesus did between his twelfth and thirtieth year of age, that means, what kind of job he did immediately before starting his career as itinerant preacher and leader of a religious gang in Palestine and to make his livelihood that way. Oh yes, the Christians' "god's" son drudged as a servant in Egypt! My word, what a "god", already and allegedly parentally determined by god...!

***Oh yes, in Egypt as servant Jesus drudged,
And thus the rich got his inveterate grudge!***

The fact that Jesus hates the rich ones ("It's easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God" Lu 18:25 – Ah, yeah, yeah, yeah...!) does not mean that all rich ones just deign to despise the Egyptian servant (Jesus). Especially those rich ones have no reason to do so that grifted their fortune like the Christian sect grifted and will ever grift it and thus have to ask for forgiveness of their crimes and felonies, i.e. sins, like the Christian dastards n' bastards are used to asking for remission of their innumerable crimes and felonies, i.e., sins. Creeping Jesus and all his Christian dastards n' bastards are skilled past comprehension in bleeding a victim dry by its bad conscience).

Here, Origen objects to Celsus that he obviously does not know that „miraculous“ occurrences about the flight to Egypt that are served up by Matthew. Thus, Origen inadvertently demonstrates for what purpose Matthew's concoctions are made for. However, let us continue to proceed step by step.

As already demonstrated, Matthew's version about Jesus' stay in Egypt is refuted by the Christians' „god's word“ happened by the gospel of Luke. Luke – not Celsus – refutes Matthew. Vicariously on behalf of Celsus I would like to answer Origen: What is refuted by the Christians' "god's word", i.e. gospel of Luke, does not need to be refuted by me (Celsus). That's all! What is to say to the alleged "miraculous" events Origen claims for himself and his Christian accomplices?

One should think it over: Prenatal announcement of an alleged "divine redeemer", "immaculate conception", "virgin birth", alleged cosmological occurrences („Star of Bethlehem“), "son of god" and all the other plagiarisms the Christians cribbed from their Mithraic competitor and two decades later on: The same "son of god", "redeemer of humankind", indeed, a godforsaken, wretched cripple and servant abroad in Egypt, eking out a miserable existence and on top of everything: branded as curse and horror onto the god...!

It was commonly known among Jews – as Celsus accounts – that Jesus himself hired out in Egypt as a servant. Celsus relates what is generally known about Jesus among his contemporary Jews (about the year 178). However, still more known among Jews was god's word that such a stay in Egypt is a curse and horror onto god!

Other nations (e.g. the Greek or the Romans) did not know those passages of god's word (bible of the Jews) and the Christian dastards, liars, deceivers and Mafiosi could lead them up to the garden path, one path by the other, but the Jews knew precisely how god judges, respectively, condemns the biography of that faking Satan's, pardon, "god's" son...

And more over, think it over: This little piece of crap, pardon, servant, this curse and horror onto god -- like Satan is a curse and horror onto god -- presumes to fake a "god" or the only, the unique, the single human being (the latter is the real meaning of „god's

son“ in this heinous sect)... And now, one can imagine why Christian dastards n' bastards failed among Jews: Because Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera, aka Jesus Christ, and his accomplice were able to dupe other people but not the Jews. Unlike other nations, the Jews knew their bible. They knew Jesus' biography (that is withheld by the Christians!) and they knew that the claimed god's word turns Jesus out as curse and horror onto god and if still something more, then as son of Satan!

That is why the Christians prefer to keep secret Jesus' biography until today. They prefer to keep silent about the job their topmost bandit did – before they lifted him up to their „god“. They withhold what he did between his age of twelve and thirty. If there was any apparent good news, for instance, that he could discuss religious matters with the high priest at the age of twelve, they copiously relate them. However, later there was nothing favorable to tell about Satan's, pardon, “god's” alleged “beloved son”. They had good reasons for keeping that silent:

- In hindsight, the fabrications (plagiarisms cribbed from Mithraic religion) about the alleged prenatal selection of that cripple (Jesus) as “redeemer” by god („immaculate conception“, „virgin birth“, „Star of Bethlehem“ etc.) just turn out to be lies and deceit by the following biography of his and thus refute the Christians' allegations about his birth: Eking out a wretched existence as a godforsaken servant in Egypt. Why? Because if the start had to be that tremendous, all the more the continuation had to be like that. If “god” allegedly intervenes in a woman's sexuality, he easily could help his alleged peer when drudging in Egypt, degraded as a servant. Anyway, that would be much easier than pressing to stars in the sky together or shifting any mountain...
- And the Christians preferred to be silent or to misguide because the abode of Jesus in Egypt turns him out as a curse and horror onto god, provided one knows the scripture of god's word (the bible of the Jews), the Christians refer to in order to fake evidence for their lies and deceptions. In German, there is a proverb: Do not wake up sleeping dogs. That is why the Christians prefer to be silent about the most parts of Jesus' biography, the precisely knew.

The best way to get rid of the truths is to withhold them. If that is not possible any longer, liars and deceivers try substituting them by half-truths and even fabrications of blatant lies. Luke, Mark and John keep silent about Jesus' abode in Egypt, whereas Matthew serves up with half-truths. He is right when accounting the fact of Jesus' abode in Egypt. He lies when fabricating that Herode allegedly was inflamed with mass-murdering little innocent children. Matthew concocts that horror story that individuals – very typical of Christian -- shall feel pity with humankind's most deceitful, despicable and unscrupulous dastard n' bastard, criminal and felon Jesus Christ.

As already said, Celsus did not need to refute Matthew's horror story – as early Christian Origen wanted him to do so – since the Christians' “god's word” (gospel of Luke) did this already. An opponent of Christian organized crime never is obliged to debunk something the Christians “god's” word already unmasks itself!

Considering that Luke unambiguously refutes Matthew's horror story about Jesus' abode in Egypt, then Matthew's horror story inadvertently provides evidence that Celsus information about drudging and grudging servant Jesus Christ in Egypt is right. Luke does not say that Jesus never had been in Egypt. He only tells that the Jesus family did not escape to Egypt after the child's birth as Matthew contrives. Moreover, it is not to assume that Matthew produces that horror story out of thin air. It is a half-truth.

The Christians were faced with the fact that their “god” or “son of god” became a curse and a horror to the god because he drugged as young man in Egypt. And Matthew decides to embellish that embarrassing fact that put Jesus where he belongs to: to Satan. And it is very, very typical of Christian dastards to divert the gaze from their

deficiencies and depravity by projecting their enemies as murders and bastards – exactly as that what the Christians are themselves.

The Christians “god” symbolically was born among animals in between stench, weed, urine, liquid manor, shit, crap and sewage and he and his followers made a human being like trained animals and their “ideology” – as far this concept is not pompous pertaining to this sect – in a figuratively sense stays in stench, weed, urine, liquid manor, crap, shit and sewage... Very, very symbolical!

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE GOD DECEPTION](#) | [THE CROSS DECEPTION](#) | [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) | [WIE SAH JESUS AUS?](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 1998 – 2002 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved. - Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited.

Annotations:

[i] Celsus in “Eight books of Origen contra Celsus”, book I, 61 on: [Last call: 06/14/2008](#)
http://www.gnosis.org//library/orig_cc1.htm

[ii] The context of cannibalism and Christianity is pointed out on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e701.htm>

[iii] Pertaining the murders of little innocent children of the alleged „lamb innocently suffering for the sins of the world”, see: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e400.htm>

[iv] See: Mt 5:17

[v] The Holy Bible, New International Version, First published 1979, This edition 1986, Lutterworth, England

[vi] As further evidence that it was an act of sacrilege for the Jews to move to Egypt after the Exodus see also: Jer 42,16; Jer 44,12; Jer 42,19; Isa 31,1; Isa 31,3; Ex 11,7. (Especially those passages of Isaiah -- the Christians fake to refer to in order to sham evidence for their claims -- are of particular significance, in this context).

[vii] Celsus in “Eight books of Origen contra Celsus”, book I, 28, loc. cit