


Further evidence that Celsus informs correctly and the Christians' gospels are leading astray



As [pdf](#), [zip](#) or [exe](#)

The definition of a criminal investigation has been given in detail in other treatises on the Internet of this author. In this respect, it is referred to his treatise "The techniques and ruses of social warfare of Jesus Christ", particularly to chapter 1 of the preliminaries. Especially according to the method that is mentioned there in point 6 the following, here is proving.



Now as a paperback for 10.95 US\$ and E-BOOK for 9.95 US\$!

PublishAmerica just has edited as well an inexpensive paperback version of "Jesus' Bluff" (price: 10.95 US\$) as an E-Book that also is compatible with Apple's new IPAD (price: 9.95 US\$). For the E-Book version there are no additional costs but the fee of 9.95 US\$. In order to download the e-book version of Jesus' Bluff [click here](#). Shipping of other versions within the United States amounts to 3.99 US\$ and to each other country in the world 12 US\$. So, in the United States the book as a material copy now is available for about 15 US\$ (including shipping) and outside the U.S.A. for about 20€.

The reports of Celsus and the Jewish writing of "Toledoth Yeshu" are furthermore, ascertained by some Christian early writings that largely are withheld to the Christian slaves. According to them Mary was Joseph's second wife. . E.g., Origen (185 - 254 CE) -- one leader of the most ancient facility of early

Christian education in Alexandria (Egypt) - calls James a half-brother "of the Lord", who was given birth by another woman of Joseph. Evidently failing to miss the consequences of his report he thus refutes all the Christians' fabrications that god -- instead of Joseph or Joseph Panthera -- fathered Jesus. One does not need reason to refute that lies and deceit but reading the early Christians' writings... Doing that, truths and reasons noticeably coincide. Obviously, Joseph's first woman had died after long-time marriage. When engaging with Joseph Mary was about fifteen years of age while Joseph already had been an old man of about 60 years:

"I (Joseph) have sons, and I am an old man, but she (Mary) is a girl: lest I became a laughing-stock to the children of Israel." [i]

Why Celsus' and the "Toledoth Yeshu" reports are indirectly admitted that way? Well, it is quite evident that a young girl and a granddad sexually do not match. The Christians admittedly simulate unsuspectingly and feign that this was not important. However, then they cannot explain why - because of all insignificance - people of different gender marry and not man and man or woman and woman. Such "marriages" were the real consequence of Christian "morals" of camouflaged wanking. The claim of Catholic sect that its slaveholders, i.e. priests, are sexually abstemious is just as credible as the claim that they do not eat and drink. [ii] Possibly the Christian priests just mix up wanking and abstinence. Firstly, the Christians generally are wont to changing the hollow words and the words' meaning mostly to each reverse and secondly one thoroughly can call the nature of Christian doctrines just a wanking of its users... Do not forget: Blissful are those that do not see and believe, however...

Even if there are liaisons of the same sex nowadays, it is because sexuality is important but not insignificant. On the contrary, because both partners have a sexual disposition to the sex of their own and not to the other they select each other because of the importance of sexuality to them. On top of everything, here one still has to take into account that at that time a man of sixty years of age really was an old man because of the heavy physical work of the time craftsmen like a carpenter usually had to comply. The majority of the then people did not reach that age, at all. It is evident that a young girl uses to look for sexual experiences with a younger "guy" if married grandpa is no longer able to do it right or above all or even is not at home, on top of everything:

"And Joseph was afraid, and took her to keep her for himself. And Joseph said unto Mary: Lo, I have received thee out of the temple of the Lord: and now do I leave thee in my house, and I go away to build my buildings and I will come again unto thee. The Lord shall watch over thee." [iii]

Firstly, grandpa, secondly even not at home: Then, all sorts of things happened without any extraterrestrial interventions that are palmed off on others as "exciting divine events of salvation" because of embarrassment. From the very outset - and according to the Christians own writings - the second marriage of Joseph (with Mary) was geared to a sexual disaster, and an illegitimate child was a very matter of course under those circumstances - a fact that only could be hushed up by skills of lying and deceit the Christians -- and especially their god Jesus -- doubtlessly have. God, or what a bird brained imagines about god - is a bad chastity belt. Help yourself then god helps you, or do guard your wife then god guards her! One cannot deny that Joseph had some trust in the god if he seriously believed that god would play the chastity belt to his wife...

Again, one must recognize that unlike Joseph modern human being slackens in his "trust in god" Joseph had very confidence in god that nobody would jump on his granddaughter mating her - and god allegedly doting on all his failure, all the misshapen ones and monstrosities did disappoint him so much, so much... God, you measly accomplice to Christians...! Oh my god, do you wonder why the Christians replaced you by one of their fellow desperadoes, they can rely on...? Oh God, how much you are depraving compared to the homemade Christians' golden calf called Jesus...

What did the death penalty convict of Golgotha -- man's deceiver, man's felon, man's desperado or the planets most conjurer of lies -- that crept out of Mary's womb babble in the end: " Oh, my god, my criminal god, why did you forsaken me...?" You just can't rely on the Christians' god...! The Christians helped themselves by making a god of their own promising to those who worship that golden calf all what the heart of the depraved, the swindlers, deceivers, murders and even mass-murders is yearning for... provided, one knuckles under him and worships him as the god, in exchange -- exactly as the devil demanded to do so, in the desert...

In this respect the reports from the " Protevangelium " are to be taken very seriously since they are the mines of information for Luke's Christmas tale. If the Christians fake for their writings divine inspirations, then this should be applied the more to the sources from which the "tidings" are taken. One can assume that many of the half-siblings of Jesus were older than their stepmother Mary. According to the words of his own Luke summarizes what is talked and believed among Christians. The sources of that what he concocts, he does not name. In these circumstances, it is not only to assume but to conclude with certainty that the young girl (Mary) looked for her sexual experiences and satisfaction with other, younger and stronger males - and moreover that her hubby Joseph therefore understandably was inclined not to oppose the fabrication of "immaculate conception" because firstly he was already afraid of becoming a laughing-stock -- as already quoted -- and secondly he really could not enable sexual satisfaction to the girl.


He was already afraid of becoming a laughing stock to his fellow Jews because of the young girl and to the top of everything it really happened. Then he could nothing but taking flight (to Babylon). However, all those (Christian) barbarians, that detect their hideous mind mirrored in the Christian sect, and Jesus project their abominable mind on their enemies: King Herode allegedly murdering all infants like the Christians indeed murdered all Catharses, Huguenots and all heretics as far they had political power in order to commit those barbarities with impunity. One could argue that the imaginary the infanticide committing king was the first Christian, who ever existed - and not Jesus... Such murders that emerging murders' sect desired...!

Such abominable assassinations would have had so much, so much -- in order to save their "honor" (of terrorists romping in the area of religion)! Only the Christians themselves turned out to be capable of such a hideous mass-murders.

- That is the notion of " love " and "charity" of the Christians!
- Those are the Christians' " Trojan horses ".
- Those are the real (depraved) sick ones!
- Those are the real last ones.
- That is not only the scum of the earth!
- That is the scum of all creatures - the scum among all human beings and all mammals!

Most of the mammals are practicing higher moral standards than the Christians and their man's deceiver are offering to their congeners.

The fact that Celsus (about 178 CE) was reporting correctly is inadvertently admitted by early Christian Tertullian (he died 222 CE). Tertullian already felt all the Christian lies so firmly established that he was mocking at the truths:

“This is your carpenter's son, your harlot's son; your Sabbath-breaker, your Samaritan, your demon-possessed!... This is he whom his disciples have stolen secretly (from his tomb)...”  [iv]

Thus it is proven that it was common knowledge that the golden calf of the Christians

- is son of a whore – instead of one of the god –
- the story about his birth is a brazen lie and theft from the Mithraism is and
- they alleged resurrection generally was a theft of his or his stuntman's corpse from the grave

That is what Celsus correctly reported to us already half a century before. Who wonders that this deceivers' and barbarians' sect considerably did not spread, where these ostensible "miracles", i.e. deceits and lies, should have had occurred...? Here, the Christian inadvertently corroborates the correctness of the accounts Celsus already gave half a century in the past.

Each gospel writer independently had set down in books his personal experiences with Jesus or narrations about him – mostly from second hand. Logically, a "New Testament" that one could have read did not exist, yet. A coordination of the several gospel-writers was not possible just because then nobody knew which four gospels once will be accepted to the Christian bible amongst roughly 100 ones that were written. Therefore, the contradictions of the reports ("gospels") of the gospel-writers are especially important for finding out the truths -- comparable to a criminal investigator who tries to blast a conspired gang of liars by interrogating each member of the gang individually and in private in order to detect the truths by means of the hereby received contradictions of each testimonies.

John and Matthew were the only disciples among the gospel-writers of those gospels that were accepted to Christian New Testament. So, consequently, apart from Matthew John was an eyewitness of the sayings and deeds of Jesus – so far one can trust in that barbarism of fraud and deceit that John is really the writer of that gospel as they allege. Well, John reports the following objection of the Jews against Jesus' allegation being the "predicted" Messiah of the Jews:

John 7:41-42 RSV

41 Others said, "This is the Christ." But some said, "Is the Christ to come from Galilee?"

42 Has not the scripture said that the Christ is descended from David, and comes from Bethlehem, the village where David was?"

During Jesus' lifetime, it was general and undisputed knowledge that the tramp, an Egyptian daily worker and later preaching terrorist Jesus does not descent from King David, and that he was not born in Bethlehem as other gospel writers are feigning. John does not report that Jesus or his disciples would have objected, either: Wait a moment, I am born in Bethlehem and at the time of my birth the Jewish king (allegedly) murdered all infants because of me in Bethlehem and surroundings (as my future under-slaveholders will pyre any dissident that neither wants knuckle under me nor does acknowledge me as his or her slaveholder...). They could not object that way since neither the Jesus monstrosity nor his sick and unscrupulous bootlickers (disciples) knew such fabrications at that time.

Hereby, the Christian salad of lies about the birth of the monster (Jesus) they lifted up to their god is sufficiently proofed, indeed by the "god's word" of their own. Therefore, one does not need to refer to reason only in order to unmask these fakers, swindlers and murderers who call themselves Jesus Christ or Christians. Hereby, it is proven that those deceivers use to proceed that way that they at first studied the scriptures of the Jews what characteristics (patterns or "templates") they bestow to the predicted Messiah, for example, firstly, to descend from King David, and secondly, to be born in Bethlehem.

Then, this gang of deceivers utilized the ignorance about those occurrences that emerged by the increasing temporal and spatial distance to fabricate the circumstances and facts of the birth of the monstrosity, they lifted up to their god corresponding to the Jewish scriptures. They never were interested what really happened when their golden calf was born but only what the Jewish scripture told what, where and how should it happen. And according to those templates they feigned, faked and fabricated all the facts about their monstrosity's birth. Thus, they arranged their self-fulfilling „prophecies“. E.g., in the bible of the Jews there was written the Messiah would be a descendant of King David and so consequently the Christian swindlers lied that their misshapen Messiah (Jesus Christ) was a of King David.

In the Jewish scripts, there was written that the Messiah was to be born in Bethlehem. Consequently, the experienced Christian liars misplaced the birth of their misshapen monstrosity from Galilee to Bethlehem. Each lie comes in handy to that planetary gang of deceivers and barbarians. Too many advantages that "modest" and "humble" ones calculated for themselves, firstly, if they can fake to the world that their misshapen monstrosity was the god and secondly, that by that odd god that is mixed up with the devil they would have the right abasing their congeners as slaves subservient to themselves and lifting up themselves to slaveholders or under-slaveholders of all human beings. Those are the contents of their Trojan horses, e.g. of "love", "charity", and „ministration for souls" etc. If the birth did not happen in Bethlehem then there also was no star at Bethlehem, wasn't it?

Why does John report this objection of the Jews that unmasks the other three "official" gospel writers (Matthew, Mark and Luke) as liars and swindlers par excellence?

In his gospel John doesn't exaggerate his swindling that shamelessly alleging an immaculate birth in the stall of Bethlehem or coming magicians from Persia to Bethlehem. How could he know that other writers will dish up such fabrications? And how could he ever know that his gospel became accepted to Christian bible, especially with others that lie so much about descent and birth of the Jesus monstrosity? John did not claim to be clairvoyant...! And if he should have claimed that he wasn't.

Therefore, John saw no reason to suppress this objection of the Jews to the Christians' swindling god and so inadvertently gave the lie to the fellow gospel-writers. So, the Christians' deformity Jesus once was right and did not lie all the time:

Lk 12: 2 RSV

Nothing is covered up that will not be revealed, or hidden that will not be known.

With these words the future, i.e., the Christians' deceits and their deceiving golden calf is foreseen correctly. Aren't Jesus and the Christians right being terrified of the Antichrist and trembling with it like each criminal gets the willies with the (prosecuting) police, attorney and the judge?!

Last not least we now want to quote from a gospel the Christians buckled so much to get rid of it and that was hidden by them for about eighteen centuries, however, recovered about three score years ago in a cave near Nag Hammadi in Egypt, namely the gospel of Thomas (In the library of the Vatican, there are all gospels. However, the boss of the Catholic Mafia keeps secret all that does not match the Christians' deceit!).

Jesus said, "He who knows the father and the mother will be called the son of a harlot." 🗨️[v]

So what is the difference between us and the conditioned Christian sheepdogs and their slaveholder? We know the father and the mother of him. And everybody who knows them is informed, completely. The Christian conditioned shepherd dogs just believe and know nothing and fool themselves: Believing means not knowing (what is true)... So it happens that they worship man's deceiver as the god – and they used to do this because they refuse to admit what is true (The Christian barbarism's concept of truth!). However, man's deceiver in his very person says that those who know do know that he is the son of whore and fornicator. That is the proper way Satan's misshapen son was given birth. Really his birth was in due form and there was much to lie and to deceive for man's deceiver's warriors... of Satan continues and almost one third of this planet worship man's deceiver or his son as the god... He even has a deputy in Rome – that best and most successful terrorism that ever existed and will exist.

Once more, it is produced evidence that one does not need only to refer to reason in order to prove Christianity as a satanic barbarism. One can do it also by the Christian scriptures. Belief is ignorance. That is the way man's deceiver (Jesus Christ) fancies to disguise his deceits successfully. However, there is no belief without motive. And if one understands the Christians' motive for their faith one conceives all the crap and scrap they drivell in order to deceive.

The Christian faith's motive is that one of all barbarians: To issue sham money or counterfeit money as regular currency in order to steal from others and to perform willfully foul play in order to steal (social) advantages – even by the price of most repulsive atrocities on those ones that do not belong to those creeps and crooks. All organized crime does the same – there is no difference to Satan's "Saints of The Latter Days". In another treatise, we will demonstrate how Jesus even taught tricks to his warriors how to steal and rob successfully.

*Sleep, moron, sleep,
And stay a Christian sheep!*

End of the treatise "The (Cribbed) Star of Bethlehem".

Annotations:

☛[i] Protevangelium IX,2 quoted from the: BOOK OF JAMES, OR PROTEVANGELIUM , From "The Apocryphal New Testament", M.R. James-Translation and Notes
Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924, on: <http://wesley.nnc.edu/noncanon/gospels/gosjames.htm>

[ii] Pertaining the sexual life of Jesus it is recommended to read
<http://www.geocities.com/atrott.geo/smeindex.htm> . Concerning the current sexual life of Christians hypocrite priest it is recommended to read <http://christianwatch.org/cweapr01.htm>

[iv]Tertullian, *De Spetaculis* 100.30

Translation, quoted from Mead, R.S. *Did Jesus Live 100 B.C.?*. London: Theosophical Publishing Society, 1903. p. 133., also on: <http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/~humh/Topics/JewishJesus/tertullian.html>

☛[v] The Gospel of Thomas , Translated by Thomas O. Lambdin, Logion 105,
<http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/gthlamb.html>

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE GOD DECEPTION](#) | [THE CROSS DECEPTION](#) | [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) | [WIE SAH JESUS AUS?](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 1998 - 2001 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved.- Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited.

>